UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,273	12/09/2004	Bernhard Podirsky	PIZ-106	2781
	7590 07/25/200 K LLOYD & SALIW	EXAMINER		
	NAL ASSOCIATION	NGUYEN, CHI Q		
PO BOX 142950 GAINESVILLE, FL 32614-2950			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3635	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Арр	lication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10/9	517,273	PODIRSKY, BEF	PODIRSKY, BERNHARD			
		Exa	miner	Art Unit				
		СНІ	Q. NGUYEN	3635				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MASSING (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comming period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply epply received by the Office later than three months at each patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE (of 37 CFR 1.136(a). I unication. tutory period will apply will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUN n no event, however, may y and will expire SIX (6) M the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[\	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 12 Anril 20	2008					
•		b)⊠ This actio						
3)		<i>,</i> —		atters prosecution as to th	ne merite is			
3/1	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	closed in accordance with the practic	c under Ex par	ic quayic, 1999 o	.D. 11, 400 O.G. 210.				
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-15 and 18-20</u> is/are pendi	ng in the applic	ation.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15 and 18-20</u> is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or elec	tion requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
-	The specification is objected to by the							
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ເ	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P' nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	ГО-948)	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application 				

Art Unit: 3633

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in response to applicant's amendment filed on 4/12/2008.

Status of Claims

Claims 1-15, 18 and newly filed claims 19-20 are pending.

Claims 16-17 have been cancelled.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-15, and 18-20 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-18 of copending Application No. 10/476,205. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because all of the features of the instant application are included within the copending application such as a cladding member including a body portion with inner and outer surfaces and the inner surface further

comprises mounting means. For example, the copending claims 3-15 and 17 are corresponding to the instant claims 1-15, 18-20 except for obvious terminology variations: a body portion (copending claim 3) for an elongate body member (instant claim 1); a batten engagement portion disposed on said inner surface (copending claim 3) for mounting means associated with at least one of said adjacent cladding portions and adapted to engage at least one complementary mounting portion provided on said inner surface (instant 10). This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 and 18-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Chi Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-6847. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am-4:00 pm.

If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached at (571) 272-6777.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you

Application/Control Number: 10/517,273 Page 4

Art Unit: 3633

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197.

/C. Q. N./ Examiner, Art Unit 3635

/Jeanette E Chapman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3633